Dear Mr. Cassidy,

In 2011, President Barack Obama launched a drone in order to eliminate Anwar al-Awlaki, a U.S citizen who resides within the country of Yemen. We believe that this action is the best way to go because Anwar al-Awlaki exhibits a huge threat to our country’s peace. As the commander in chief he is sagacious with each step he takes because he wants to eliminate all possible threats, showing that even if they are born in the U.S their rights are limited, and that the U.S. government’s drone policy will better reflect both its legal system and its ethical values.

Our commander in chief launched the drone attack in order to avoid any future catastrophe, because whatever Awlaki does will be unpredictable. Obama quickly proceeded to eliminate Anwar al-Awlaki because “Al-Awlaki was a high-ranking leader of the terrorist network al Qaeda in the region” and that sustaining a blow from Awlaki or any terrorist would be very unfavorable. The CIA “gathered intelligence on al-Awlaki” and found out “that he posed a significant threat to U.S national security.” Another victim “killed in the attack was al-Awlaki’s 16-year-old son” that happen to be next to Al-Awlaki when the drone was launched to wipe out Awlaki, showing that Obama will not waver to eliminate any threats.

Anwar al-Awlaki being a U.S citizen does not mean that he is authorized with the privilege to threaten the country without rational consequences. Every citizen in America has their own role to play in the society, to maintain the peace. However, those that disrupts the peace of U.S will have to face proper consequences, and Awlaki is proved to be a huge threat to our society and must be eliminated. The threats that Awlaki pose does not amuse Obama at all therefore triggering “a drone attack on a vehicle in Yemen carrying al-Awlaki.” However, “critics claimed that the Obama administration violated al-Awlaki’s constitutional rights” because he did follow the proper procedure. Obama was rather hasty during the process, however, the action was perfectly logical because the longer he waited the messier stuff will get.

The U.S government’s drone policy will better reflect both the legal system and ethical values because our country will not run a flimsy system. Our system should be a tenacious stronghold because we will not tolerate any terrorist. Some might argue that the system we run on should not be so intense because in the done attack al-Awlaki’s sixteen-year-old son got killed “who, according to the *New York Times*, was not suspected of any crime or anti-American activity.” However, we would not know that for sure because the son could grow to be just like his father and be an even bigger threat to the U.S. The U.S drone policy will also better reflect our ethical values because people may think killing human alone is inhumane, however, the consequences of letting the terrorist loose is something that we have to take into consideration. If we let Awlaki get away many people would believe that the U.S government runs on a very loose ethical value and will be easily taken advantage of.

We would like you to take what we have said into consideration because our president is just doing his job. We should fully support whatever he is doing because everything is doing is honestly for the best of our nation

From Unknown~